

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/621,622	FREY, STANLEY J.
	<b>Examiner</b> Ernest G. Therkorn	<b>Art Unit</b> 1723

**All Participants:**

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David J. Piasecki.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed the changes in the examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. David J. Piasecki then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)